



OTC 18399

Travel-Time Methods of V_p/V_s Determination for Pore Pressure Prediction Using Lookahead VSPs

D. Ebrom, BP America; P. Heppard, formerly BP, now ConocoPhillips; and M. Albertin, BP America

Copyright 2006, Offshore Technology Conference

This paper was prepared for presentation at the 2006 Offshore Technology Conference held in Houston, Texas, U.S.A., 1-4 May 2006.

This paper was selected for presentation by an OTC Program Committee following review of information contained in an abstract submitted by the author(s). Contents of the paper, as presented, have not been reviewed by the Offshore Technology Conference and are subject to correction by the author(s). The material, as presented, does not necessarily reflect any position of the Offshore Technology Conference, its officers, or members. Papers presented at OTC are subject to publication review by Sponsor Society Committees of the Offshore Technology Conference. Electronic reproduction, distribution, or storage of any part of this paper for commercial purposes without the written consent of the Offshore Technology Conference is prohibited. Permission to reproduce in print is restricted to an abstract of not more than 300 words; illustrations may not be copied. The abstract must contain conspicuous acknowledgment of where and by whom the paper was presented. Write Librarian, OTC, P.O. Box 833836, Richardson, TX 75083-3836, U.S.A., fax 01-972-952-9435.

Abstract

The increase in subsalt exploration drilling activity, and the drilling problems associated with exiting salt, have led the oil industry to scrutinize subsalt drilling practices and the methods commonly in use for pre-drill pore pressure forecasting. Integrated drillability assessment utilizing a combination of offset well information, seismic derived velocities, and basin fluid flow modelling has improved the accuracy of pre-drill pressure forecasts, but significant uncertainty still exists in quantifying the stress regimes immediately below salt.

Recently, 2D walkaway VSPs designed specifically to address the subsalt pressure uncertainty via V_p/V_s estimates and empirical models for V_p/V_s to pressure conversion, have been successfully used to impact drilling operational decisions and improve subsalt drilling success. The process of using lookahead VSP's can be subdivided into three distinct phases: 1) modeling to establish feasibility of a walkaway VSP for revealing reasonable V_p/V_s and for establishing an optimum 2D acquisition, and calibration of the V_p/V_s to pressure conversion model 2) acquisition of the VSP and real time processing using AVA and kinematic methods to establish the subsalt V_p/V_s , identify inclusions, and refine the base of salt depth prediction, and 3) pressure prediction from the V_p/V_s and review and update of the salt exit Mudweight/salt exit drilling procedures. This paper will focus on the kinematic methods employed for estimating V_p/V_s in the second phase of this lookahead VSP workflow.

Pore Pressure Calculation from V_p/V_s

In the last few years a number of workers have described or implied that shear velocity as well as V_p/V_s should be as sensitive or more sensitive to pore pressure of mud rocks as the typical compressional velocities, V_p or travel time, we have used for years for pressure prediction and pressure detection while drilling (Huffman and Castagna, 2001; Huffman, 2002; Eberhart-Phillips et al., 1989). In some cases recommendations for drilling overpressure in deep water in the shallow, riserless section, most often referred to as the shallow water flow zone, have been based on the prediction of unusually high V_p/V_s in sediment derived from surface seismic data. The high V_p/V_s is interpreted to be due to very unconsolidated conditions likely reflecting relatively high overpressure. Our work focused on evaluating the response of shear velocity and V_p/V_s to overpressure, that is low effective stress, and if possible create a workable technique to calculate the magnitude of overpressure (Heppard et al., 2002; Ebrom et al., 2003).

Our process began by reviewing shear (V_s) and V_p/V_s as measured by dipole sonic tools from a number of wells from six petroleum basins in the Americas, Africa, and Asia. Our process was similar to the way we in the industry have evaluated compressional sonic travel time or velocity via comparing measured responses to an expectation of velocity under normal pressure conditions (Figure 1). Our expectation of normal V_s and V_p/V_s used a combination of a porosity prediction with increasing burial, and overburden and effective stress and equations that relate velocity to porosity, effective stress and lithology in the manner described by Scott and Thomsen (1993) for V_p , and using the Eberhart et al. (1989) equations based largely on measurements of a range of clastics